BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 MAX SIMPSON, d.b.a. BEVERLY COURT APARTMENTS, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 270 5 6 vs. FINDINGS OF FACT. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 7 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke-emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) in the conference room of respondent's Vancouver, Washington office at 1:00 p.m., June 22, 1973.

Appellant appeared pro se; respondent through its counsel, James D.

Ladley, Thomas E. Archer, Kelso court reporter, reported the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Eight exhibits were admitted.

From testimony heard, exhibits examined and transcript reviewed, the

5 7 No 8074\_05\_0.67

10

11

12

13

14

18

1 Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

2

3

4

5

7

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

On October 26, 1972, from the furnace stack of the Beverly Court Apartments, 511 West Evergreen Boulevard, Vancouver, Clark County, there was emitted for at least fourteen minutes black smoke darker in shade than No. 3 on the Ringelmann scale. An air quality control officer on respondent's staff observed the emission. Respondent issued to appellant a Notice of Violation in the amount of \$50.00, citing Section 4.02 of respondent's Regulation I. Collection of the penalty was suspended by respondent pending no further violations by appellant of respondent's 12 Regulation.

II.

On January 3, 1973, the Beverly Court Apartments was cited by respondent for another smoke-emission violation of Regulation I and on March 4, 1973, appellant paid respondent the \$50.00 civil penalty invoked for the January 3, 1973 violation. Collection of the penalty for the October 26, 1972 violation is the subject of this appeal.

III.

Section 4.02 of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause for more than three minutes in any one hour an air contaminant emission darker in shade than No. 2 on the Ringelmann scale. Section 4.07 of respondent's Regulation I provides that emissions which exceed this standards of Regulation I will not be deemed violations if they are caused by an unavoidable breakdown of equipment which is reported hmmediately to respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

•	77	
	1/	
_		

Appellant, a resident of Baker, Oregon, and an absentee owner of the Beverly Court Apartments, believes the October 26, 1972 emission to have been caused by an unavoidable malfunction of the apartment furnace. Appellant has informed respondent in writing that he has issued an order to the apartment manager to report all such breakdowns immediately to respondent.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS

I.

Appellant was in violation of Section 4.02 of respondent's Regulation I on October 26, 1972 and his apartment manager did not avail himself of the mitigating provisions of Section 4.07 of respondent's Regulation I.

II.

The instant penalty was for a first violation by appellant of Section 4.02 of respondent's Regulation I and the \$50.00 penalty is not unreasonable.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

ORDER

The appeal is denied, and the civil penalty is affirmed.

27 CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1	DONE at Lacey, Washington this 11 day of July , 1973
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	Welt Woodwand
4	WALT WOODWARD, Chairman
5	Ul Ginley
6	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
7	
8	JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
55	
23	
24	
25	
26	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 4

S F No 9928-A

27